ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Transparency, Accountability and Explainability While Implementing Artificial Intelligence Into - HR & General Workplace Functions

[1] Abhishek Chandel, [2] Himani Gupta, [3] Rishika Saxena, [4] 1Dr Shine David

[1] [2] [3] Student, MBA (Human Resource Program), Institute of Management Studies, Devi Ahilya University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

[4] Assistant Professor, Institute of Management Studies, Devi Ahilya University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

* Corresponding Author's Email: [1] abhishek.chandel1002@gmail.com, [2] himanigup07@gmail.com, [3] rishikasxn1@gmail.com, [4] shinelavi77@gmail.com

***Abstract*— The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the workplace (especially in the domain of HR) has the potential to significantly enhance organizational efficiency and decision-making. This research paper examines the impact on transparency and accountability in the implementation of AI for both HR professionals and the workforce at large. In addition to this, it illustrates how explainable AI practices are which can assist with productivity, job satisfaction, and making sure AI-based decisions are explainable and justifiable, it also introduces challenges like absence of fairness, damaging privacy, encountering biasness. Using likert scale questionnaire, data is collected from HR professionals and employees across various industries, the study explores how clear communication of AI algorithms, decision-making processes, and data usage can build trust.**

Case studies and survey findings show that employees who perceive AI implementations as transparent are more likely to trust and engage with the technology. Although dependency and familiarity of AI differs in age, industry, job satisfaction. Ongoing refinement of enhanced integration, bias mitigation, employee engagement, continuous learning will aim to create a more efficient, fair, and engaging work environment, leveraging AI's capabilities to meet the evolving needs of the modern workplace.

Keywords: Transparency, Accountability and Explainability, Ethics & Artificial Intelligence (AI), Human Resources (HR), AI-enhanced Job Satisfaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved from an emerging technology to a driving force behind global innovation, reshaping industries, economies, and everyday life. In 2024, AI is no longer just a tool for automation—it is a **strategic enabler** of efficiency, creativity, and decision-making. From **Generative AI revolutionizing content creation** to **predictive analytics optimizing business strategies**, AI's capabilities are expanding at an unprecedented pace. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing workplace dynamics, reshaping traditional roles, and redefining how businesses operate. From automating repetitive tasks to enabling data-driven decision-making, AI is enhancing productivity, efficiency, and innovation across industries. Moreover, the integration of machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics is fostering **personalized employee experiences, intelligent talent acquisition, and optimized performance management**.

However, as AI becomes deeply embedded in workplace functions, the need for transparency, explainability and accountability, and **ethical AI governance** is more critical than ever. Without these factors, organizations risk bias, ethical dilemmas, regulatory challenges, and employee distrust**.** Conversely, in general workplace functions, AI adoption focuses more on task automation, operational efficiency, and workflow optimization**.** From **AI-driven chatbots** handling customer service inquiries to predictive analytics improving supply chain logistics**, the primary goal is to enhance productivity and reduce manual workloads. Unlike HR, where AI must be** transparent and explainable **to ensure fairness in people-centric decisions.**

The study shed light on usage pattern of AI, challenges faced, implications of AI functions, legal and ethical practices of AI, AI enhanced job satisfaction. It highlights the growing need for transparency and explainability in HR AI systems, where ethical concerns and employee trust are paramount, compared to the efficiency-driven AI models used in broader business operations. By understanding these differences, organizations can implement AI responsibly, ensuring it enhances both workforce management and operational success without compromising fairness or accountability.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In the previous research paper titled **"Responsible Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources Management: A Review of the Empirical Literature",** published in AI and Ethics (2024) it focused on transparency, accountability, and explainability in AI implementation in HR. Although AI in HRM is extensively researched, empirical evidence on

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304
International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)
Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

responsible AI principles like transparency, accountability, and explainability is scarce. Transparency and explainability (XAI) are important for explaining AI-driven decisions, but research provides conflicting results about their effect on fairness and trust. Certain AI models improve explainability by interpretable features, but empirical support is limited. Accountability is reinforced through human control, with studies pointing towards the requirement for HR professionals to keep deciding how AI makes decisions, as opposed to following automated results without questioning. Research also emphasizes the use of multidisciplinary groups. (HR practitioners, AI developers, and policymakers) in ensuring proper AI deployment based on ethical guidelines. Though AI is assumed to reduce prejudice, there is minimal empirical research substantiating that AI is less prejudiced than human choice-making. In general, the research emphasizes insufficient real-world experimentations and stresses the need to conduct more investigation on AI accountability, transparency, and its very impact in HRM

The Research paper **"Transparent Artificial Intelligence and Human Resource Management: Proceedings of the 56th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences** | 2023 discusses the application of transparency, accountability, and explainability (XAI) in HRM driven by AI. The article points to the increasing necessity of transparent AI systems to support ethical, unbiased, and fair HR decision-making. The research finds Transparency by Design (TbD) as a significant framework, where it is essential to integrate accountability, system design, and data processing principles into AI development. The AI Human Resource Transparency Model unites explainability, interpretability, and accuracy as key elements for HR professionals. While transparency is geared to increase trustworthiness and equity, the study identifies that explainability is underutilized relative to interpretability. Moreover, accuracy is a main dimension in HRM AI usage, impacting recruitment, performance management, and employee relations. The research necessitates more work on transparent AI architectures, pay administration, and the tradeoff between accuracy and interpretability to realize ethical AI implementation in HRM.

This previous research paper on **"Analyzing Employee Attrition Using Explainable AI for Strategic HR Decision-Making"** published in 2023 it focuses on the role of transparency, accountability, and explainability (XAI) in AI-driven HR decision-making, particularly in predicting employee attrition. The research points out that AI is able to analyze employee data to identify attrition risk, but black-box models have a lack of transparency. To solve this, XAI methods such as SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations), and Partial Dependency Plots (PDP) are employed to render AI predictions more understandable for HR professionals. The research indicates that employee satisfaction, pay, distance from home, and overtime have a significant effect on attrition. By enhancing transparency and accountability in AI-based HR processes, organizations are able to create more equitable, data-informed retention strategies and build trust in AI recommendations. The research underscores the importance of interpretable models to make sure that AI is used ethically in HR, reconciling accuracy and explainability for responsible decision-making.

The integration of AI into HR processes presents significant opportunities, but also raises critical ethical concerns, particularly regarding transparency, accountability, and explainability. Here's a summary of key research trends: **Biasness and Fairness** One of the common themes is the possibility of AI amplifying existing biases in past HR data. This can result in discriminatory decisions in hiring, performance appraisals, and other HR activities. Researchers highlight the importance of representative and diverse datasets to counter these biases. **Transparency and Explainability** The "black box" character of most AI algorithms is a transparency challenge. Employees are usually in the dark about how AI-based decisions are being made, breaking down trust. Research emphasizes the need to give transparent and comprehensible explanations of AI decision-making processes, even to non-technical users. Experiments have proven that AI transparency can result in greater trust in AI, and reduced threat appraisals from employees. **Accountability** Defining clear lines of responsibility for AI-based HR decisions is important. This includes determining who is accountable for the design, deployment, and performance of AI systems. Researchers are investigating frameworks for ethical governance of AI in HR, such as auditing and oversight mechanisms. **Trust and Employee Perception** Employee trust is critical to the successful implementation of AI in HR. Transparency and explainability are key to establishing this trust. Research explores how workers view AI in the workplace, and how transparency and domain knowledge, among others, shape their attitudes. **Ethical Frameworks** There is increased emphasis on creating ethical standards and frameworks for the ethical use of AI in HR.These frameworks cover topics ranging from data privacy, algorithmic fairness, to human supervision.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

To identify the factors - This study focuses on identifying the factors such as AI in HR, Transparency and Accountability showing how these factors plays a significant role in workplace. These factors can be affected by AI-Driven Decision, AI in recruitment, AI in HR operations, Ethical AI awareness. Maintain a balance between AI automation and human decision-making to prevent errors, Recommend frameworks and guidelines for organizations to improve AI accountability.

To examine the impact of gender - It identifies impact of gender on HR functions in workplace that shows they believe

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304
International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)
Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

that AI tools can improve the accuracy and efficiency of HR functions, awareness of ethical guidelines for AI use. Also it is found that employees feel confident that AI used in there workplace are ethically used.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a quantitative transverse or fragmentary design, combining both primary & secondary data, to "investigate transparency, accountability & explainability while implementing Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources." The main areas of focus include Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources, Ethics in workplace Implementation of AI, Transparency in AI-driven processes, AI's accountability & explainability from employees perspectives, the effect of AI on the satisfaction. Primary data was gathered through a structured questionnaire, presented in the Likert-Scale Form, targeted mainly at HR Professionals. Data were collected using a 19-item questionnaire administered to a sample of 103 participants. The analyzed variables circumscribed employee attributes (age, gender, industry, years of experience & familiarity with AI tools), Transparency in organizational implementation of AI-powered HR processes, Employee's trust on AI, Ethical considerations, Organizational outcomes & underlying challenges. Secondary data was obtained from previous research papers, industry reports, and case studies to create a comparative framework.

The reliability of the questionnaire was assessed & validated using Cronbach's Alpha, identified & analyzed the relationships between categorical variables using Cross-Tabulation, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) with Varimax Rotation was used to identify latent factors within a set of large number of correlated variables by simplifying the factor structure, essentially created a simple structure for better understanding of the data, within which The Kaiser Meyer-Olkin test and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity were employed to verify the suitability of the data for factor analysis. Finally, one-way ANOVA test was used to check if variations across different levels of a single independent variable have a measureable effect on dependent variable.

V. DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

To effectively examining the findings, a range of statistical tools were utilized:

ANOVA (Analysis of Variance): This method was use to determine that there is significant difference in AI in HR, Transparency and Accountability across various demographic groups such as age, gender and experience.

Cross-tabulation: This approach was conducted to examine the relationship between group like age and gender to gain insights of different variables adapting to AI.

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA): This technique used Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure to evaluate whether the relationships among the variables are strong enough to justify factor analysis, and performed Bartlett's test of sphericity to test whether there are significant correlations among the variables.

Descriptive Statistics: This approach is used identify the trends like mean, variance and standard deviation, to emphasize important insights from the survey data. It also uses Reliability Testing (Cronbach's Alpha)**:** This approach is used to essentially measures the extent to which the items in a test or scale are inter consistency of survey responses taking into consideration of both general workplace and HR experience.

Apart from the mentioned above given below are the findings from the analysis and tools applied through questionnaire:

1. Reliability Analysis:

The reliability of the scale was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, which yielded a coefficient of 0.850, indicating a high level of internal consistency among the 20 items, also all the 103 cases were held valid without any exclusion. The average score of all 20 variables shows of 74.89, with a variance of 7.136 showing the distribution of response and with standard deviation of 8.839 showing the variability in response. Interpretation shows that responses are reinforcing the validity of the data collected and can be used for further statistical analysis.

2. Cross Tabulation Analysis:

A cross-tabulation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between **Age and Gender**, the majority of participants found (72.8%) were female, and the largest age group was among all three group was 18-25 years (75 counts). Age bracket of 26- 35 saw around two-third of female participation & just one-third of male participation. The results of the cross-tabulation analysis are summarized below: - 18-25 years: 75 (72.8%) participants, with a male-to-female ratio of 1:2.6, - 26-35 years: 24 (23.3%) participants, with a male-to-female ratio of 1:1.7, - 36-45 years: 4 (3.9%) participants, with a male-to-female ratio of 3:1 The findings were found that proportion of males decreased with increasing age, while the proportion of females remained relatively consistent across age groups.

Second analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between **HR Experience and Industry** in which majority of participants (45.6%) had less than 1-5 years of HR experience, and the largest industry group was IT (43.7%), it summarises, less than 1 year of HR experience: 47 (45.6%) , participants, with a distribution of 44.4% in manufacturing, 47.5% in others, and 44.4% in IT - 1-5 years of HR experience: 56 (54.4%) participants, with a distribution of 55.6% in manufacturing, 52.5% in others, and 55.6% in IT. Findings shows that the sample was predominantly composed of HR professionals with relatively limited experience, and that the IT industry was the largest represented industry group.

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

3. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA):

To assess the suitability of the data for factor analysis, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity were conducted. The KMO measure yielded a value of .783, indicating that the data were suitable for factor analysis. Additionally, Bartlett's test of sphericity revealed a significant result ($\chi 2 = 870.897$, df = 190, $p < .001$), suggesting that the correlation matrix was not an identity matrix and that factor analysis was appropriate. To identify the underlying factors, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted on the dataset. The PCA extracted 20 components, and the results showed that the first three components explained 50.51% of the total variance. The eigenvalues for the first three components were 6.099, 2.146, and 1.858, respectively. The screen plot and Kaiser's criterion (eigenvalue > 1) were used to determine the number of factors to retain. Based on these criteria, three factors were retained, explaining 22.33%, 18.11%, and 10.07% of the variance, respectively. The rotated component matrix reveals the factor loadings of each variable on the three retained components. The findings shows that: Component 1 is characterized by high loadings on variables related to organizational culture (VAR00021, .802; VAR00020, .754; VAR00013, .709). Component 2 is characterized by high loadings on variables related to leadership style (VAR00016, .813; VAR00007, .744; VAR00017, .637). Component 3 is characterized by high loadings on variables related to employee engagement (VAR00025, .814; VAR00024, .644; VAR00023, .530), showing variance of Component 1, Component 2 and Component 3 as 22.33%, 18.11% and 10.07% respectively. The rotation method used was Varimax with Kaiser Normalization, and the rotation converged in 7 iterations, supporting the validity of the scale.

4. One- Way ANOVA:

To examine the differences in variables across gender, a series of one-way ANOVAs were conducted. In which significance Values (p- values) are calculated on most variables, showing significance value in General Workplace with Factor 1: AI in HR (p= 0.716), Factor 2: Transparency (p= 0.775), Factor 3: Accountability (p= 0.878) showing no significant difference in any three factor. Other hand HR Experience professionals in Factor 1: AI in HR it shows AI tools improve the accuracy and efficiency of HR functions with p value (0.022), Factor 2: Transparency it shows awareness of the ethical guidelines governing AI use in HR functions with p value (0.033), Factor 3: Accountability (p=.241) showing no significant difference in any three factor. Interpretation: It shows that in two variables shows significant difference where we can reject null hypothesis, other than this we do not found any significant difference in any other factor in both the job role.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Human Resources (HR) and general workplace functions offers transformative potential for increasing efficiency, enhancing decision-making, and streamlining processes. Its shows the usage pattern of AI, challenges of transparency and accountability while using AI in workplace. The influence of AI is extensive and multifaceted, significantly shaping the trajectory of the future workforce. The study explores that Industry-wise statistics show that male employees are uniformly spread across industries, while female employees are concentrated in the IT and Other industries. Human Resource experience also shows that women are largely in the 1-5 years of experience bracket, as opposed to men, who are largely in the less than 1 year and more than 6 years of experience. The research indicates from one way anova that employees' trust in the potential of artificial intelligence tools is a key driver of increased accuracy and efficiency in human resource activities. Their positive thinking on the potential of AI to automate tasks, improve decision-making, and reduce human errors enables the proper utilization of AI technologies in HR. The research further highlights that employees are aware of the ethical standards that guide the application of AI in HR activities, these findings suggest the need to establish trust, effective training, and encouraging ethical standards to facilitate the maximum utilization of AI integration in HR practices. Findings shows that significant response was less from HR professionals and more from IT industry. With increasing usage of AI, it has become important to have familiarity in AI along with effective way in AI adoption in HR practices & workplace.

Methods that can be used to make transparency and explainability possible adhere to ethical AI principles, use explainable AI models, provide data transparency, have AI literacy training for HR professionals, making AI decisions explainable, unbiased, and subject to human review, organizations can make workplace processes trustworthy and fair. While AI offers greater efficiency, productivity and improved improved decision-making, it also poses challenges such as data privacy and security issues, lack of transparency, job loss and workforce resistance. It requires legal and ethical AI adoption with assurance of positive impact on employees and organization.

REFERENCES

[1] Responsible artificial intelligence in human resources management: a review of the empirical literature Responsible artificial intelligence in human resources management: a review of the empirical literature | AI and Ethics

[2] Revolutionizing the Workplace: The Impact of AI on the Modern Workforce Quest Journals Journal of Research in Business and Management Volume 11 ~ Issue 4 (2023) pp: 99-106, ISSN(Online):2347-3002. www.questjournals.org

[3] Artificial Intelligence and the Future of work: A Functional -Identity Perspective: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/09637214221091823
[4] The impact of Artificial Intelligence in the workplace and its Effect on the Digital Wellbeing of Employees https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379640568
[5] The transformative impact of artificial intelligence on hr practices and employee experience: A review https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals
[6] Transparency, Privacy, and Accountability in AI-Enhanced HR Processes https://scipublication.com/index.php/JACS/article/download/26/14
[7] A study of Artificial Intelligence impacts on Human Resource Digitalization in Industry 4.0 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772662223000899
[8] Analyzing Employee Attrition Using Explainable AI for Strategic HR Decision-Making https://www.mdpi.com/journal/mathematics
[9] Artificial Intelligence in HR https://www.ijrar.org/papers/IJRAR1944797.pdf
[10] Towards Equitable AI in HR: Designing a Fair, Reliable, and Transparent Human Resource Management Application https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-39059-3_21